

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/741,491 | 12/19/2003 | Dennis S. Greywall | Greywall 30-8 | 4102 | |
| 46850 | 7590 03/16/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| STEVE MENDELSOHN CHOI, WILLIAM | | | LLIAM C | | |
| MENDELSO | DHN & ASSOCIATES, P | .C. | | | |
| | ET STREET | .0. | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| SUITE 715 | | | 2873 | | |
| PHILADELI | PHIA, PA 19102 | | DATE MAILED: 03/16/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | $A \cdot H$ |
|--|----------------------------------|---|-------------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/741,491 | GREYWALL ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | William C. Choi | 2873 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap | ppears on the cover sheet w | vith the correspondence address | •• |
| Period for Reply | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | eation. |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 | December 2004. | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th | is action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow | ance except for formal mat | ters, prosecution as to the merit | ts is |
| closed in accordance with the practice under | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I | D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-16 and 18-35</u> is/are pending in t | he application. | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr | awn from consideration. | | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-16 and 18-26</u> is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27-35</u> is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | or election requirement. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examir | ner. | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 19 December 2003 is. | /are: a)⊠ accepted or b)[| objected to by the Examiner. | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to th | e drawing(s) be held in abeya | ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre | ction is required if the drawing | g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12 | 21(d). |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | Examiner. Note the attache | ed Office Action or form PTO-152 | 2. |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig | n priority under 35 U.S.C. | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | · · · | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documer | nts have been received. | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documer | nts have been received in a | Application No | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the pri | ority documents have been | n received in this National Stage |) |
| application from the International Bure | au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis | st of the certified copies no | t received. | |
| | | | |
| Attachment(s) | 🗖 | | |
| 1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | | Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 | 8) 5) 🔲 Notice of | Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) 🔛 Other: | | |

Art Unit: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

The indicated allowability of claims 11, 21 and 27 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Plante et al (U.S. 4,655,563). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 29 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Specifically, in regard to claims 29 and 32, applicant discloses wherein the second actuator comprises "third" and "fourth" electrodes. However, in respective parent claims, 28 and 31, applicant does not disclose a "first" or "second" electrode in either the first or second actuator, thereby rendering the claim vague and indefinite. Therefore, these claims were not considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2873

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 27, 28, 30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Plante et al (U.S. 4,655,563).

In regard to claim 27, Plante et al discloses a MEMS device, comprising: a deformable plate (column 2, lines 67-68, Figure 2, "10") rotatably supported on a substrate (column 3, lines 55-58, Figure 2, "20"); an actuator adapted for deforming said plate (column 4, lines 34-36, Figure 2, "18"), wherein deformation of said plate is independent of any rotation of said plate (column 2, lines 20-34).

In regard to claims 28 and 31, Plante et al discloses a MEMS device and method of deforming, comprising: a deformable plate (column 2, lines 67-68, Figure 2, "10"); a first actuator mounted on the deformable plate and adapted to apply a deformation force to the deformable plate to change the shape of the plate (column 4, lines 34-36, Figure 2, "18"); a substrate, wherein the plate is movably connected to the substrate (column 3, lines 55-58); and a second actuator adapted to move the plate with respect to the substrate (column 3, lines 55-65, Figure 2, "20").

Regarding claims 30 and 33, Plante et al discloses wherein motion imparted onto the plate by the second actuator is substantially decoupled from deformation imparted onto the plate by the first actuator (column 2, lines 20-34).

Claims 34 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Motamedi et al (U.S. 5,903,380).

Art Unit: 2873

In regard to claim 34, Motamedi et al discloses a MEMS device (column 1, lines 6-8, Figure 1), comprising: a deformable plate (column 3, lines 57-58, Figure 1, "10"); and a first actuator fully mounted on the deformable plate and adapted to apply a deformation force to the deformable plate to change the shape of the plate (column 4, lines 30-48, Figure 1, "18").

In regard to claim 35, Motamedi et al discloses a method of deforming a plate in a MEMS device (column 4, lines 30-48, Figure 1, "10, 18"), comprising: applying to the plate a deformation force produced by the first actuator fully mounted on the plate and adapted to change the shape of the plate (column 4, lines 30-48).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-26 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art fails to teach a combination of all the claimed features as presented in claims 1 and 3-16 and 18-23: a MEMS devices and deformation method as claimed, specifically wherein, when a voltage differential is applied between the first and second electrodes, the electrodes move with respect to each other thereby generating the deformation force.

The prior art fails to teach a combination of all the claimed features as presented in claims 24 and 25: a MEMS device comprising a deformation actuator mounted on a deformable plate connected to a substrate as claimed, specifically wherein, when the plate adopts a shape and moves with respect to

Art Unit: 2873

the substrate without a change of the adopted shape, the actuator moves together with the plate without a change in the deformation force applied to the plate by said deformation actuator.

The prior art fails to teach a combination of all the claimed features as presented in claim 26: a MEMS device comprising a deformable plate movably supported on a substrate and a means for deforming said plate as claimed, specifically wherein, when the plate adopts a shape and moves with respect to the substrate without a change of the adopted shape, the means for deforming the plate moves together with the plate without a change in the deformation force applied to the plate by said means for deforming.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 27-35 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Xie (U.S. 2004/0218877 A1) is being cited herein to show a MEMS device comprising some of the structural limitations of that of the claimed invention, but does not specifically disclose the deformation of the plate as claimed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Choi whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 2873

(571) 272-2324. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from about 9:00 am to 6 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Y. Epps can be reached on (571) 272-2328. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William Choi Patent Examiner Art Unit 2873 March 5, 2005

Georgia Expressions
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800